

PII: S0040-4039(97)00009-9

Stereoselective Approach to the Dihydroagarofuran Framework via Directed Intramolecular Radical Addition

James D. White* and Hyunik Shin

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331, U.S.A.

Abstract: The radical derived from bromoacetal 12 undergoes intramolecular addition to give predominantly the cyclic acetal 13 in which the C12 methyl substituent is *endo*. © 1997, Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

The dihydroagarofuran skeleton 1 is present in a wide array of polyhydroxylated sesquiterpenes,¹ including maytol $(2)^2$ and euonyminol (3).³ These sesquiterpene polyols are found as their esters in plants of the *Celastraceae*, where they constitute core units of complex structures such as the cathedulins.⁴



In the course of studies directed towards synthesis of members of this class, 5.6 the bicyclic ketone 4 was identified as a pivotal intermediate in the hope that this functionalized decalin would serve as a template from which rings A and B of 2 and 3 could be fabricated. An efficient synthesis of 4 was devised (Scheme 1) via Diels-Alder addition of diene 5^7 to the quinone 6 (prepared *in situ* by oxidation of methyl gentisate⁸), allylic bromination of the resultant adduct 7 and *in situ* elimination to the homoannular diene 8, stereoselective Luche reduction⁹ to give 9, and a final directed epoxidation. Unfortunately, further progress from 4 towards 2 and 3 was obstructed by difficulties associated with introduction of the three carbons of the heterocyclic ring in the correct configuration. Thus, conjugate addition of the resultant silyl enol ether, gave exclusively the product 11 in which the isopropenyl substituent had entered with the undesired β orientation.

Reasoning that the C6 hydroxyl group of 4 could be employed to steer conjugate addition of a suitable nucleophile to the α face of the unsaturated ketone, a plan was conceived that attached a functional group to this alcohol in anticipation that cyclization would be forced to occur in *syn* fashion. However, neither the propionate of 4 nor a malonyl ester derivative could be induced to undergo base-catalyzed, intramolecular Michael reaction. We therefore turned to a radical cyclization pathway, cognizant of the fact that intramolecular conjugate addition of radicals to α,β unsaturated ketones is a well precedented process.¹⁰





Bromoacetal 12 was prepared by treatment of 4 with N-bromosuccinimide and 1-ethoxypropene,¹¹ and was obtained as an inseparable mixture of four stereoisomers. Exposure of this mixture of bromoacetals to tri*n*-butylstannane and AIBN in benzene at reflux led in good yield to cyclized products 13 and 14 in the ratio 5:2, respectively. In addition, a small quantity of the epimeric acetal 15, isolated as an inseparable mixture of C11 stereoisomers was obtained. Stereochemical assignments to 13 and 14 were made on the basis of nuclear Overhauser experiments. Thus, irradiation of the signals due to the C12 methyl protons of 13 produced signal enhancement of the equatorial H8 α proton (1.1%) and the α proton H13 (1.4%) but not H7. By contrast, irradiation of the C12 methyl signal of 14 caused enhancement only of H7 (3.1%) and H11 (3.5%). Energy minimized conformations of 13 and 14 that accommodate these data are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Energy Minimized Conformations and NOE Data for 13 and 14

These results indicate that 13 and 14 are *cis* fused, and that the two stereoisomers differ only in the configuration of the C12 methyl group. Further support for the assignment made to 14 was acquired when this

acetal was treated with thiophenol in the presence of boron trifluoride etherate. A crystalline bis(thiophenyl) adduct was obtained whose X-ray crystallographic analysis showed it to be 16 (Figure 2).



Aside from confirming the configuration at C6, 7, and 11 of 14, the structure of 16 gave evidence of characteristic reactivity in ring B of 4 that was later used to advantage in our route to 3.6 Although ¹H NMR spectroscopy strongly suggested that the acetal (C13) configuration of both stereoisomers of 15 was opposite to that in 13 and 14, ambiguity with respect to the center at C11 in this structure prevented a firm conclusion to be drawn regarding the orientation of the C12 methyl substituent.



Figure 2. X-ray Crystal Structure of 16

The formation of 13, which possesses the more sterically crowded *endo* methyl substituent, as the major product from 12 has little direct precedent, although high *endo* selectivity for an alkyl substituent has been noted in other types of radical cyclization,¹² including one involving a bromoacetal and an *exo* olefin.¹³ Our result can be accommodated within the mechanistic guidelines of Beckwith¹⁴ and the theoretical framework of Houk,¹⁵ assuming the transition state shown in Figure 3. This view of the reaction pathway postulates that the preferred conformation of the radical species generated from 12 will be chair-like, with the methyl substituent "equatorial."



Figure 3. Proposed Transition State for the Cyclization of 12 to 13

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Frank Stappenbeck for the X-ray crystallographic structure of 16. Financial support for this work was provided by the National Institutes of Health (GM50574).

References

- 1. Smith, R. M. in *The Alkaloids*; Manske, R. H. F., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1977, Vol. 16, pp 215-248.
- 2. Kupchan, S. M.; Smith, R. M.; Bryan, R. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 6667.
- (a) Sugiura, K.; Shizuri, Y.; Wada, H.; Yamada, K.; Hirata, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 2733. (b) Han,
 B. H.; Park, M. K.; Ryu, J. H.; Park, J. H.; Naoki, H. Phytochemistry 1990, 29, 2303. (c) Kuo, Y.-H.;
 Chen, C.-H.; Kuo, L.-M.; King, M.-L.; Wu, T.-S.; Lu, S.-T.; Chen, I.-S.; McPhail, D. R.; McPhail, A. T.;
 Lee, K.-H. Heterocycles 1989, 29, 1465.
- Crombie, L.; Crombie, W. M. L.; Whiting, D. A. in *The Alkaloids*; Brossi, A., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1990, Vol. 39, pp 139-164.
- 5. White, J. D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1994, 66, 2183.
- 6. White, J. D.; Cutshall, N. S.; Kim, T.-S.; Shin, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 9780.
- 7. Mander, L. N.; Sethi, S. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 5953.
- 8. Kraus, G. A.; Taschner, M. J. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 1175.
- 9. Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5454.
- 10. Jasperse, C. P.; Curran, D. P.; Fevig, T. L. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 1237.
- 11. Ihara, M.; Yasui, K.; Taniguchi, N.; Fukumoto, K. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 1469.
- 12. Curran, D. P.; Porter, N. A.; Giese, B. in *Stereochemistry of Radical Reactions*; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1996, pp 56-57.
- 13. Pezechk, M.; Brunetiere, A. P.; Lallemand, J. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 3715.
- 14. Beckwith, A. L. J.; Schiesser, C. H. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3925.
- 15. Spellmeyer, D. C.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 959.

(Received in USA 18 November 1996; accepted 30 December 1996)